General Education Assessment Committee April 14, 2023 2pm, Online via Zoom

<u>Present:</u> Michele Baranczyk (Office of Assessment, chair), Lauren Levine (CLAS), Amy Lynch-Biniek (at-large teaching faculty), Liaoliao Li (COB), Dannell MacIlwraith (VPA), Laurie McMillan (Academic Dean), Meg Norris (COE), Megan O'Byrne (GEC), and Robert Ryan (atlarge teaching faculty).

<u>Absent:</u> Feisal Murshed (At-large Teaching Faculty Representative), Karen Rauch (Academic Affairs), Dan Stafford (at-large non-teaching faculty) and Undergraduate Student Representative.

Guests: Bethany French

M. Baranczyk called the meeting to order at 2:00pm.

Approval of Minutes

The minutes from the March 28, 2023, meeting were presented for review. It was moved by R. Ryan, and seconded by A. Lynch-Biniek, to approve the minutes. MOTION PASSED.

New Business

Full Cycle Report Update:

M. O'Byrne provided the update, and noted that the two subcommittees are working to combine the two parts into a single report.

Spring 2023 Report Update

No new information provided.

A4 Writing Rubric Revision Update:

A. Lynch-Biniek provided an update. She has added "evidence" to the section on Development, and removed the row pertaining to Intertextuality, information literacy and attribution since those are covered by SLO 5. She also requested more specificity in the "intended genre and audience" for the student work products so that raters don't have to guess on those when rating assessments. The committee discussed areas that have overlap and how to address that.

A. Lynch-Biniek suggested three options on the Standard Written English section revisions:

Option 1 – Change to Control of Conventions

Option 2 – Change to Stylistic Control

Option 3 – Remove section entirely from the rubric

Discussion ensued. M. Baranczyk proposed that the committee consider these option and bring it back to the next meeting for a decision, and the committee agreed.

Oral Communication Rubric Revision:

L. McMillan provided an update on the rubric, and B. French shared it on the screen for the committee to review. She reviewed the process used and what feedback was received so far. M. O'Byrne shared that her department, Communication Studies, is still discussing this rubric, but feels like this revision is a good start. M. Baranczyk suggested this remain under revision and discuss it again at the next meeting in anticipation of receiving more feedback.

GEPAC Proposal:

The proposal was reviewed at Rep Council yesterday, but has not yet been voted on. They plan to vote on it at next month's meeting. M. Baranczyk shared that the council requested clarification on faculty appointed by the colleges.

The proposal is on the UCC agenda for April 27.

Spring Rating Day Event Planning:

The event currently has 15 people signed up to attend, but will need more to assist with rating. The goal is to get 40 participants to do rating. L. Levine asked about what faculty who are submitting work products for rating need to include for rating day, for example if certain parts of the assignment are not relevant to the assessment. Discussion ensued.

ADJOURNMENT

It was moved by A. Lynch-Biniek, and seconded by L. Levine, to adjourn the meeting.

Meeting Adjourned at 2:45 pm